XVII. Observations on some Causes of the Excess of the Mortality of Males above that of Females. By Joseph Clarke, M. D. Physician to the Lying-in Hospital at Dublin. Communicated by the Rev. Richard Price, D. D. F. R. S. in a Letter to Charles Blagden, M. D. Sec. R. S.

Read March 30, 1786.

SIR,

Newington-Green, February 6, 1786.

I RECEIVED fome time ago the inclosed letters and registry from Dr. CLARKE, Physician to the Lying-in Hospital at Dublin. They contain fome accounts that seem to me not improper to be communicated to the Royal Society.

The observations which have been made on the laws that govern human mortality prove, that the mortality of males exceeds that of semales in almost all the stages of life, and particularly in the earliest stages; and that this excess prevails most in great towns, and all the less natural situations of human life. The facts in these papers throw some light on this subject. Male fætus's requiring more nutrition than semale fætus's, because larger, and being also for this reason more liable to injury in delivery, are brought into the world less perfect: and this happening more or less in proportion to the vigour and just formation of the mother, it must happen most in those situations where the greatest tenderness of frame and deviations from nature take place. The truth, in short,

short, seems to be, that any debility in either parent must affect most the production of that sex which requires the largest and strongest stamma; and that such debilities prevailing most in great towns and polished Societies, the excess of the mortality of males must also be greatest in such situations. And this I reckon the principal reason of a circumstance in human mortality which, before I received these communications from Dr. CLARKE, I did not so well understand.

With much respect I am, &c.

RICH. PRICE.

Dr. CLARKE's first Letter to the Rev. Dr. PRICE.

SIR, Dublin.

IN your very useful Treatise on Life Annuities, &c. you remark *, that "it has been observed, that the Author of "nature has provided, that more males should be born than seemales, on account of the particular waste of males, occa- fioned by wars and other causes. That perhaps it might have been observed, with more reason, that this provision had in view that particular weakness or delicacy in the con- fittution of males which makes them more subject to mor- tality; and which, consequently, renders it necessary that more of them should be produced, in order to preserve

"in the world a due proportion between the fexes." And further, you elsewhere remark *, that "the fasts recited at the end of your fourth Essay prove, that there is a difference between the mortality of males and semales; but that you must however observe, that it may be doubted, whether this difference, so unfavourable to males, be natural; and that "there are fasts which prove that you have reason for such a doubt." After stating a number of very satisfactory sasts of this kind you remark, that "the inference from them is very obvious; that they seem to shew sufficiently, that human "life in males is more brittle than in semales, only in consequence of adventitious causes, or of some particular debility "which takes place in polished and luxurious societies, and "especially in great towns."

What those adventitious causes are, or how this particular debility is produced and operates, are questions which appear to me highly interesting and curious. I have therefore been at considerable pains to examine and arrange a very accurate and extensive registry in such a manner as I hope will throw some light on these questions. As it is to the accuracy of modern registers that we are originally indebted for our knowledge of the facts in question, I apprehend, it is from the same source only that we shall be enabled satisfactorily to explain them.

Of the registry inclosed, I beg leave to observe to you, Sir, that it has been kept from its commencement by a man of uncommon accuracy (one of the under-clerks of our House of Commons); and that as the poor women and their children are obliged to pass through his office, before leaving the Hospital, his situation is such that there is no likelihood of his being deceived. It exhibits to our view the occurrences of 28 years

in above 20,000 inftances: a number which I am inclined to think can hardly appear infufficient for establishing some general inferences and conclusions on a tolerably sure foundation. Although my reasoning on these matters should not appear very conclusive, or my calculations persectly accurate, yet I flatter myself, that the sacts will neither be unacceptable nor useless to you.

I believe it may be fafely afferted, that anatomy has not hitherto detected any internal difference between the animal occonomy of the male and female, which can be supposed to account for their difference of mortality, more especially in early Infancy; and this (it deferves to be particularly remarked) is the period during which the chances are much the greatest against male life. It is a matter of common observation that males, cæteris paribus, grow to a greater fize than females, both in utero and every fubfequent period of their growth. Confequently, they must meet with more difficulty, and endure more hardship and fatigue, in the hour of birth. Accordingly, practitioners in midwifry, taught by experience, know, that when any confiderable difficulty occurs in the birth of a child (for example, in all the different kinds of preternatural labours) they fland a much better chance of faving the life of a female than of a male. It is on this principle we can explain what our registry concurs with others in proving, viz. that near one-half more males than females are still-born. Naturalists are agreed, that the head of the human fœtus is larger in proportion to its body than that of any other animal; and I believe it is certain, that no animal whatever brings forth its young with fo much difficulty, pain, and danger, as a woman. Now as we know that the head contains one of the most important organs of the body to life, it is highly reasonable to suppose, that any additional

material effects on the whole system. These effects though often may not be always immediate. They may operate in weakening the male constitution so as to render it more apt to be affected by any exciting cause of disease soon after birth, and less able to struggle against it. It may be asked, how this will apply to the difference of mortality in great towns and country situations? The answer evidently is, that in great towns rickets, scrophula, and other diseases affecting the bones, and producing consequent mal-conformation of the semale sex, are more frequent than in healthy country situations.

There is another circumstance, Sir, which may have some influence in producing that particular debility which you men-It is this: as the stamina of the male are naturally constituted to grow to a greater fize, a greater supply of nourishment in utero will be necessary to his growth than to that of a female. Defects in this particular, proceeding from delicacy of constitution or diseases of the mother, must of course be more injurious to the male fex. And although the male children may be so lucky as to escape abortion and the perils of delivery, it is probable, that they will be more apt to languish under disease, or die at some future period, from the application of noxious causes to an originally half-starved frame. To a person little accustomed to consider physiological subjects, this reasoning may appear somewhat obscure. It may, perhaps, be fomewhat illustrated by confidering that nourishment of the fœtus after birth which nature has provided for. Suppose every mother in a great city obliged to suckle and nurse her own child, without the affiftance of spoon-meat; and every mother in the adjacent country to do the same. Of the former there would not perhaps be one good nurse in five; and of the Vol. LXXVI. Aaa latter.

latter, perhaps, not one bad in ten. The difference of mortality that would ensue both to mothers and children thus situated, and the greater sufferings of the male than semale sex, may be easily conceived, but not easily calculated. We see that, when a woman conceives twins, and has two setuses in utero to nourish instead of one, it becomes peculiarly satal both to her and her offspring. The chances are above four to one greater against her than against a woman bringing forth one child, and about two to one against her issue*.

Give me leave, Sir, to call your attention a little further to the facts relating to twins. They are fingular and curious, at the fame time that they serve to confirm some of the preceding reasoning. Near one-half more twins die, and near one-third more are still-born, than of single children. And why?—It is not because they meet with greater difficulties in the birth. On the contrary, it is a known fact, that, being much less than other children, women bring them forth with more ease. Does it not then proceed from a scanty nutrition, by which they are oftener blighted in utero than single children; and, when born alive, have less strength to support life through the first stages of its existence.

It is farther worthy of observation, that though double the numbers of twins die and are still-born, compared to single children, yet the proportion of male twins lost to semales is less. Only one-sisth more of the male sex die than of the semale, and only one-third more is still-born. Whereas of single children, whose proportional mortality is one-half less, one-fourth more of the male sex die, and near double the number is still-born. To what then are we to attribute this lessened mortality in savour of male twins? Probably to their brain and

^{*} Compare the 7th and 14th, 6th and 13th inferences in the annexed extracts.

nervous fystem suffering less during delivery, on account of their heads being much smaller than those of single children. Were I disposed to be prolix, I could offer many more plausible arguments on this subject; but to you, Sir, I am sure they would be unnecessary. There is only one circumstance remaining, relative to the proportion of the fexes, which I cannot pass over in silence. We see evident wisdom in the creation of a greater number of males than females; but why the proportion they bear to each other differs in different countries and fituations, and why there should be a seventeenth more males born of fingle children than twins, are questions which I leave to be decided by those philosophers who understand the theory of generation better than I do. Be this as it may, I am convinced that the majority in favour of the male fex is fooner destroyed than the generality of writers seem to be aware of. Did the limits of this letter permit, I think, I could prove from Dr. Short's own data*, that the majority of males is destroyed long before the common marriageable period; but I shall content myself with an observation or two on the registry before us. If one-half of the whole born in this hospital die before three years, which is the established computation for great cities; and if, on the loss of somewhat more than a third of this half, a majority of 1177 be reduced to 483 by a loss of 694, as appears from the registry, it is pretty evident, that by the death of the two remaining thirds, a majority will be left in favour of the female fex. It is obvious, that the statement with regard to twins corroborates this supposition; for of them, instead of a fifth, there is near one half dead and still-born, the consequence of which is, that we send out a majority of females. It may be objected, that their males do

^{*} New Observations, p. 72. et seq.

not bear so great a proportion to the semales; and that, therefore, it is not to be expected they should keep up their majority
so long. But there is only a seventeenth sewer males produced; whereas it has been already shewn, that there is a much
greater proportion between the deaths of single and twin males
against the former and in savour of the latter.

Such are the outlines, Sir, of my fentiments on this fubject. I have assumed the liberty of addressing them to you
without ceremony, as a well-wisher to every member of the
republic of letters. I shall be happy, should your fentiments
happen to coincide with mine, or if I can be of any farther
service in promoting your very laudable inquiries.

I am, Sir, with great respect, &c.

JOSEPH CLARKE.

Lying in Hospital, June 9, 1785.

Dr. CLARKE's fecond Letter to the Rev. Dr. PRICE.

3 I R,

Dublin, Oct. 22, 1785.

ENCOURAGED by your approbation of my former letter, I will take the liberty of stating to you a few more facts and observations, which I hope you will judge an Appendix to it of some importance.

With the view of ascertaining how far some of the foregoing conjectures are well sounded, and of determining with greater greater precision the more obvious differences between the male and semale sex in infancy, I began in the month of July last by weighing forty children, twenty of each sex, and by taking the dimensions of their heads. In the months of August and September I repeated the same experiment twice, taking such children as appeared to have arrived at the sull period of gestation promiscuously as they happened to be born.

I weighed them all a few hours after birth, before they had taken food, and before purgative medicines had time to operate. For this purpose, I made use of a small spring or pocket steelyard, which weighs any thing (not heavier than a few pounds) appended to it with sufficient accuracy. To this was attached a slannel bag, into which the children were put, at first, naked; but this I soon found very troublesome. The nurses often wanted time sufficient to assist me, and timid mothers were afraid of their infants catching cold; I was therefore obliged to weigh them with their cloaths on, and to subtract a certain quantity from the gross weight of each child, according as it was full, middling, or light cloathed. Whatever inaccuracy this may have introduced, as to the real weight of the children, it can but little influence their comparative weights, or the differences between the two sexes, which it was my object to ascertain.

For measuring their heads, I made use of a piece of painted or varnished linen tape, divided into inches, halves, and quarters. The varnish has the good effect of preventing the length of such a measure being readily affected by variations in the humidity of the atmosphere, &c.; and it has little or no elasticity. In this part of the experiment then I can pretend to considerable accuracy. I took first the greatest circumference of the head from the most prominent part of the occiput around over the frontal sinuses; and, secondly, the transverse dimensions.

dimension from the superior and anterior part of one ear, across the fontanelle, to a similar part of the opposite ear. These dimensions appeared to me the most likely to assord data for determining the respective sizes of the brain in the different sexes. The result was as follows:

T	wenty male	es.	T	Twenty females.							
Weight.	Circum cerence	Dimensions	Weight.	Circumf.	Dimen.from						
lbs. &c.	of heads.	from ear to ear	· lbs. &c.	of heads.	ear to ear,						
	Inches.	Inches.		Inches.	Inches.						
		Experin	ient I.								
149½	282	152	1374	272	143						
		Experim	ent 2.								
1441	277	1461	135	272	147						
		Experim	ent 3.								
148	280	1471	132	273	143¥						
		Tota	als.								
442	839	4453	404 <u>∓</u>	817	4334						
	•	Average we	ight, &c.								
7 lbs. 5 oz.	7 dr. 14	7 4	6 lbs. 11 oz. 6	dr. 13\frac{5}{8}	73						

Having found the relative proportions between the fexes to turn out thrice with so much uniformity, and observing them to correspond pretty nearly with some experiments, made for very different purposes by the late Professor Roederer, of Gottingen, I did not think it necessary to prosecute the subject farther.

Upon the whole, it may be observed, that the difference of weight between the male and female at birth may be rated at about nine ounces, or nearly a twelfth part of the original weight. In the circumference of their heads there is a difference of near half an inch, or about a 28th or 3cth part; and the same proportion of a 28th is pretty nearly preserved in the transverse dimension. It is evident, as the bony passage through

through which infants pass is of a certain determined capacity, that, were their heads equally incompressible with those of adults, the difference of half an inch in their size would often prove satal to them. By the compressibility of their heads, however, in well formed women, this difficulty is by time surmounted. The effects which such a compression on the brain may produce, have not hitherto been well attended to.

In reckoning children, weighing from $5\frac{1}{2}$ to $6\frac{1}{2}$, 6 pounds weight, and from $6\frac{1}{2}$ to $7\frac{1}{2}$, 7, and so forth, in order to avoid fractions, I find the numbers of males and females, arranged according to their weight, to stand as follow.

Males.							Females.									
lbs.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 lbs.	4	5	6	7	8.	9	10		
N°	Ø.	3	6.	32	16	2	I Nº	2	9	14	25	8	2	0		

Hence it appears, that the majority of males runs thus: feven, eight, fix, five; whilft that of the females is feven, fix, five, eight. Hence also appears the merciful dispensations of Providence towards the female sex; for when deviations from the medium standard occur, it is remarkable, that they are much more frequently below than above this standard. In 120 instances there are only five children exceeding eight pounds and a half in weight. The same may be observed with regard to the size of their heads. Only six measured above 14½ inches in circumference, and these all of the male sex; five measured 14¾, and one 15. In transverse dimensions only four exceeded 7¼, the largest of which was 8½; whereas deviations under the standard in these particulars were very numerous, never however under 12 around and 6¼ across.

In the year 1753, Dr. Roederer published a Paper, De pondere et longitudine Infantum recens natorum, in the Commentaries of the Royal Society of Gottingen, of which the celebrated HALLER was the principal inftitutor, and long the prefident. In this Paper he proves, in the clearest manner, by incontestible experiments, the absurdity of the ideas of obstetric writers with regard to the progress of the ovum during gestation, and the weight of the fœtus after birth. He shews, although they state the weight of the fætus, come to the full time, to be from 12 to 14 or 16 pounds, that it is more generally 6 or 7, and very rarely exceeds eight. This deserves particular notice for two reasons; first, because it serves to shew how little dependence is to be placed on the affertions of authors who copy each other fervilely, without having recourfe to experiment even in the most obvious cases; and, secondly, because this paper has been overlooked by some of the most celebrated writers and teachers of midwifry now living. What idea are we to form of the accuracy of one of our latest Tystematic writers, who (telling us that he has been a practitioner of midwifry, in a capital city, for twenty years, and a teacher for more than twelve) states, in one page of his work, that the weight of a fœtus at eight months is about feven pounds; and on the opposite page, that at full time it weighs from twelve to fourteen pounds *?

Of 27 children, carried to the full period of gestation, weighed and measured in length by ROEDERER, without any attention to the difference of sex, I find, that 18 were of the male and 9 of the semale sex; and that the average weight of

^{*} See a Treatife of Midwifry (p. 88. and 89.) divested of technical terms and abstract theories, by A. Hamilton, M. D. 8° edit. London, 1781.

the former was about 6 lbs. 9 oz., that of the latter about 6 lbs. 2 oz. 2 dr. Whether he and I used the same weights, I cannot exactly say. He observes, that he used the civil pound of Gottingen, which I can easily perceive consisted of 16 ounces, as mine did; but whether a German ounce be the same with ours, I have not data to determine. The average length of the males measured by him is about $20\frac{1}{3}$ inches, and of the semales about $19\frac{17}{13}$. He weighed also the placentæ of 21 lying-in women, 16 of whom had borne male children, and sive semale. The average weight of the sormer was 1 lb. $2\frac{1}{2}$ oz.; that of the latter 1 lb. 2 oz. Hence it appears, that in other circumstances, besides those I have taken notice of, the male and semale sex differ. So far I thought it necessary to take extracts from Dr. Roederer's paper, as his observations and mine throw light on each other, and add confirmation to both.

The limits of this letter will not permit me, Sir, to trespass much farther on your patience. There is one circumstance or two fo intimately connected with my former letter, that I cannot pass them over in silence. Having found that males suffer more in the birth than females, I was defirous of knowing whether the chance of the mother's recovery was thereby in any degree affected; and to determine this I was once more at the pains of turning over our registry with care. I found, that of 214 women, dead of fingle children, 50 were delivered of still-born males, and 15 of still-born females; 76 of living males, and 73 of living females. Of the 15 dead of twins, 6 had twins one of each fex; 6 others had twins both of the male fex; and three had twins both of the female fex. All of which twins (two or three excepted), it is very remarkable, furvived the death of their mothers. It would appear then, that the life of the mother is principally endan-Vol. LXXVI. Bbb gered

gered in those cases where the bulk of the male's head precludes the possibility of his being brought into the world alive, either by the efforts of nature or art. The conception of twins we have observed to be more fatal to the mother than that of single children. The average weight of 12 twins, which have occurred to me of late, I find to be 11 lbs. a pair. The largest pair weighed 13 lbs. and the least $8\frac{1}{2}$. From some rude attempts made to ascertain the weight of the contents of the gravid uterus in cases of twin and single children, I am inclined to think, that they are to each other as about 15 to 10, or perhaps $14\frac{1}{2}$ to $9\frac{1}{4}$.

Believe me, Sir, with great respect, &c.

J. CLARKE.

[363]

An Abstract of the Registry kept at the Lying-in Hospital, in Dublin, from the 8th of Dog 31st of December, 1784. By B. H. Register.

		of P	mber atients itted	Went not delive	t l	in	ivered the pital.	Boys	born.		irls orn.	num	otal ber of dren.	Wont havi twir	ng	C
From 8th to 31st of December,	1757	_	55	_	-		5 5	-	30	-	25	-	55	-	, - -	-
	1758	,	455	-	1	-	454	-	255	-	207		462		8	-
	1759	9404	413	-	7		406		228	344	192	. 	420	ı ha	13	~
	1760	wed	57 I	-	15	-	556	-	300	-	260	-	560	***	4	
	1761	-	537		16	-	521	mai	283	100.00	249	-	532		11	
	1762	-	550	<u> </u>	17		5 33	-	279	=	266	-	545	_	12	
	1763	-	519	-	31	4	488		274	-	224	-	498	-	12	
	1764	-	610	-	22	-	588	-	287	-	308	-	595		7	
	1765	-	559	**	26	-	533	-	288	-	251	-	5 39	-	6	1
	1766	-	6 i i	-	30	-	581	-	324	-	261	-	5 ⁸ 5	-	4	
	1767	-	69 5	-	31	-	664	-	373	-	301	-	674	-	10	
	1768	-	689	7	34	-	655	-	362	-	302	-	6 64	-	9	
	1769	-	675	3.	33		642	-	350	-	301	-	651	-	9	
	1770	-	705	-	35	-	670	-	372	-	3°5	-	677	-	7	
Year ending 31 of December,	1771	-	724	-	29	-	695	-	37º	-	341	-	711	-	16	
:	1772	-	725		21	-	704	-	368	-	344	-	712	-	8	
	1773	-	727	-	33		694	-	367	-	344	-	711	-	17	ŀ
	1774	-	709	-	. 28	T. T	681	e e	357	ļ	334	-	ύ91	-	10	-
	1775	-	752		24		728	Ą	364		378	-	742	-	14	
	1776	-	883	-	31	-	802	ı	418	-	407	-	825	I h	22 ad 3	
	1777	-	872	-	37		835	-	452	-	3 9 5	1	847	-	12	
	1778	- -	961	. -	34	1 -	927		476	-	460	-	936	-	9	,
	1779	-	1064	- ا	53	-	1011	-	. 550	-	476	-	1026	-	15	;
	1780	, -	- 967	7 -	48	-	919	-	499	-	441	-	940	-	2 1	:
	1781	-	- 1079	9 -	52	: E	102	7. -	- 598]-	447	-	- 1045	-	18	}
	178	-	- 102	I -	31	ş _	- 990) -	- 5 49),, -	458	3 -	1007	-	17	7
	178	3 -	- 123	o -	63	3 -	- 116	7 -	- 632	٠ -	553	3 -	- 1185		I had	
	i 178.	1	- 131	7 -	57	, -	- 126	o -	- 642	2 -	- 640	o -	- 1282		2	

1 of December, 1757,

		-	~		
omen ving vins.	Chil dea		Chil still-l		,
-		6	_	3	_
8	_	54	-	21	
13.	, 	95		22	
4	_	116		36	
. 11	-	104	-	29	
12	_	106	_	33	
. 12	_	94	-	2 9	
. 7	-	83	_	28	
. 6	_	94	-	25	
- 4	-	111	-	81	
- 10	_	125	1 2	29	ļ
- 9	-	154	_	47	
- 9	_	152	_	3 8	
- 7	-	107	-	37	
- 16	1	102	-	44	
- 8	1-	116	-	32	
- 17		136		31	
- 1 0	,	154	-	2.5	
- 14		122	-	2,	
- 22	-	132	-	35	
had 3		145	-	- 3	
- 9	_	127	-	- 3	
- 15	: -	146	, -	- 51	
- 2 I	-	115	; -	- 4	
– 18	3 -	121	. -	- 3	
- 17	7 -	127	, -	- 5	
- I'	7 -	91	. .	- 7	
[had 2	· 1	76	5 .	- 6	

1783	- 1230 - 1317	- 63 - 57	- 1167 - 1260	- 632 - 642	- 553 - 640	- 1185 - 1282	- 17 1 had 3 - 23
Totals	20625	839	19786	10647	9470	20117	331

Proportion of males and females born, about nine males to eight females. children dying under fixteen days old, as one to about fix and children still-born, as one to about twenty.

women having twins, as one to about fixty.

women dying in child-bed, as one to about eighty-feven.

Vol. LXXVI.

B b b 2

331 3111 100

ales.

hx and a half.

 n_{\bullet}

[364]
Extracts from the Registry kept at the Lying-in Hospital, Dublin, from

		Unipa	arous.					N	I ultipa
Women.			Child	ren.			Won	nen.	·
Delivered							Delivered		
in Dead.	ľ	Sex. M. F.		ead. F.	Still M.	l-born. F.	in Hospital.	Dead.	1
19455 214		305 915 150	1656	1247	602 351	351	33	ã S	3 3
	19	455	2903 953		953				6 i
		T	otal 3856 d	lead and sti	ll born.				
Inferences.							Inferences.		
1. Proportion					17 to		8. Prog	ortion of	
2.		en dying unde	: •	* *		-	9.	conditions and the same	twins d
3.		n still-born			I to		10.	en propriese and the second	twins st
4.		lying to fema			4 to	3	II.		male tv
3.		till-born to di		• 4	12 to	7	12.		Quanticolonication (int
6		rn and dead o		the whole		5	13.		still-bor
7.	nəmev	dying in chil	ld-bed	<u>.</u>	r to	92	14.		women
To	tals of dea	d and still-bo	rn.		Totals	of dead an	d still-born wheti	ice	
	Maes.	Females.			u	niparous o	r multiparous		
	1656	1247				Males.	Females.		
	602	351				1656	1247		
	2238	1.08				116	91		
	3230	1598				602	35 r		
Born in hospital	r0305	9150				29	20		
Dead and still-born	•	1598				2403	1709		1
Sent out living	8047	7552			30	6.15	0.4 # 0		
	7552				porn	10647	9479		
Balance	495 in	favour of the	e male fex.			2403	7761		Balı
						8244 7761	1108		

Of 20117 children born, at the end of a fortnight, there is only a balance of 483 in favour of the male fex, although

, from the year 1757 to 1784.

Multiparous, Twins, Triplets, &c.

Children.

Sex.		De	Still-born.				
M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.		
342	320	116	9.1	29	20		
320		91		20			
662		207		49			
		49					

Total 256 dead and fill-born.

f male twins to females born	• .		17 to 16
twins dying under 16 days	•	.•	I to 35
twins still-born .	•	•	I to 131
male twins dying to females		•	5 to 4
fill-born to ditto	•	•	3 to 2
still-born and dead of each fex	to the	whole	I to 23
women dying .		•	I to 22

Totals of twins, &c. dead and still born.

A otals of tw	nns, &c.	dead and itill
	Males.	Females.
	116	10
	29	20
	\$100 nouncements	-
	145	III
Bern	342	320
Dead and still-born	145	111

Sent out living	197	209
		197
		-

Although originally 1177; greater loss of males 694.

Balance in favour of the female fex 12

[363]

An Abstract of the Registry kept at the Lying-in Hospital, in Dublin, from the 8th of December, 1757, 31st of December, 1784. By B. H. Register.

		of P	mber atients nitted	Wen no delive		in	ivered the ipital.		born.		irls rn.	num	otal ber of dren.	Won hav twi	ing		dren ad.	Chile	
from 8th to 31st of December,	1757	-	55	-	-	-	55	-	30	-	25	-	55	-	-	-	6	-	3
f	1758	-	455	-	1	-	454	-	255	-	207	-	462	-	8	-	54	-	21
	1759	-	413	-	7	-	406	-	228	-	192	-	420	i ha	13	-	95		2
İ	1760	-	57 I	-	15	-	556	-	300	-	260	-	560	-	4	-	116	-	3
1	1761	-	537	-	16	-	521	-	283	+	249	-	532	-	11	-	104	-	2
	1762	-	550	-	17	-2	533	-	279	-	266	-	545	-	12	-	106	-	3
	1763	-	519	-	31	_	488	-	274	-	224	-	498	-	12	-	94	-	2
	1764	-	610	-	22	-	588	-	287	-	308	-	595	-	7	_	83	-	2
	1765	-	559	-	26		533	-	288	-	251	-	539	-	6	-	94	-	4
	1766	-	611	-	30	-	581	-	324	-	261	-	585	-	4	-	111	-	1
	1767	-	695	-	31	-	664	-	373	-	301	-	674	-	10	-	125	-	
	1768	-	689	-	34	-	655	-	362	-	302	-	664	-	9	-	154	-	į
	1769	-	675	-	33	-	642	-	350	-	301	-	651	-	9	-	152	-2	
	1770	-	705	-	35	-	670	-	372	-	305	-	677	-	7	-	107	-	
Year ending 31 of December,	1771	1	724	-	29	-	695	-	370	-	341	-	711	-	16	-	102	-	
	1772	1	725	-	21	-	704	-	368	-	344	-	712	-	8	-	116	-	
	1773	1	727	1	33	-	694	-	367	-	344	-	711	-	17	-	136		
	1774	1	709	1 -	.28	1:	684	-	357	-	334	1-	691	-	10	1	154	1 -	
	1775	1	752	1	24	-	728	-	364	-	378	-	742	-	14	-	122	1 -	
	1776	1	883	1	31	-	802	-	418	-	407	-	825	-	22	-	132	-	
	1777	1	872	-	37	-	835	-	452	-	395	-	847	-	12		145	-	
	1778	1	- 961	-	34	1-	927	-	476	-	460	-	936	-	9	-	127	-	
	1779	1	- 1064	-	- 53	1	1011	-	550	-	476	-	1026	-	15	-	146	-	
	1780		- 96	-	- 48	i	- 919	-	499	1	441	-	940	-	21	-	115	-	
	178		- 1079		- 52		- 1027	-	598	1	447	1-	1045	-	18	-	121	-	
	178:	- 1	- 102		- 31	1	- 990	-	549		-0		1007	-	. 17	-	127	-	
	178		- 123	1	- 6		- 1167	1	632	1	553	1	1185	1.	. 17	-	91	-	
	178		- 131		- 5	1	- 1260		642	-1	640	1	1282	1 -	had 3	-	70		
Total		-	2062	_	83	-1-	19786		10647	-1-	9479	- -	20117	-	331	-	311	-	10

Proportion of males and females born, about nine males to eight females.

children dying under fixteen days old, as one to about fix and a half.

children still-born, as one to about twenty.

women having twins, as one to about fixty.

women dying in child-bed, as one to about eighty-feven.

Extracts from the Registry kept at the Lying-in Hospital, Dublin, from the year 1757 to 1784.

			Uniparo	us.					1	Aultiparous,	Twins,	Triplet	s, &c.		
Wome	R.			Childr	en.			Wor				Children			
Delivered								Delivered							
	ead.	Se	X.	Der	id.	Still-	born.	in	Dead.	Sex		D	cad.	Still-be	or
Hospital.		M.	F.	M.	F.	M.	F.	Hospital.		M.	F.	M.	F.	м.	F
19455 4	214	10305	9150	1656	1247	602	351	33	15	342	320	116	91	29	2
		9150		1247		351				320		91		20	
		19455		2903		953				662		207		49	
				953								49			
			Total	3856 de	ad and fli	Il born.					Total	256 de	ad and fli	ll-born.	
Inferences.				330				Inferences.		20 0200000 000		177			
I. Propo	ortion of	males to fema				17 to 1	1000	1,55		f male twins to				17 to 16	
2		children dyir	-	6 days	•	I to				twins dying un		٠.		1 to 31	
3. —		children still-			:	I to 2	oğ	to. —		twins fill-born		•	•	1 to 13k	
4. —		males dying		•	•	0.57	3	11		male twins dy				5 to 4	
5		ftill-bo					7	12		fti		70 11 11 mm - 7		3 to 2	
6. —		fill-born and			he whole	I to		13. —		fill-born and o	lead of each	fex to the	he whole	I to 27	
7. —		women dying	in child-b	ed :		1 to 9	2	14		women dying	•	•	•	1 to 22	
	Total	ls of dead and	fill-born.			Totals o	f dead an	d ftill-born whet	her	9	Totals of tw	rins, &c.	dead and	ftill born.	
			nales.					multiparou .				Males.	Fema		
		16;6 1	247				Males.	Females.				116	91		
		602	351				1656	1247				29	20		
	-		598				116	91				145	111		
		22,5 1,	990				602	351				-43			
Born in holp	ital I	0305 9	150				29	20			Born	342	320		
Dead and ftil			598							Dead an	d ftill-born	145	111		
	-						2403	1709		Sent	out living	197	209		
Sent out livin	-		552			Born :	10647	9470		0.000.00		-97	197		
	_	7552					2403	1709		2.0		2.0	-		
Balance		495 in favou	r of the m	ale fex.			8244	776x		Balance in	favour of th	he female	iex 12		
							7761	125,500,000							